

## Bibliography - Cognitive Bias in Forensic Science

- Budowle, B. et al., A Perspective on Errors, Bias, and Interpretation in the Forensic Sciences and Direction for Continuing Advancement. *J Forensic Sci*, 54(4), 2009.
- Busey, T. & Dror, I.E. Special abilities and vulnerabilities in forensic expertise. In A. McRoberts (Ed.) *Friction Ridge Sourcebook*. Washington DC, USA: NIJ Press. (in press)
- Byrd, J.S., Confirmation bias, ethics, and mistakes in forensics. ???
- Charlton, D., Fraser-Mackenzie, P., & Dror, I. E., Emotional experiences and motivating factors associated with fingerprint analysis. *J Forensic Sci*, 55 (3) (in press).
- Cooley, C.M., Psychological influences and the state employed forensic examiner: How to elicit evidence concerning observer effect errors through cross-examination and discovery. *Illinois Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers Newsletter Summer*, 2003.
- Dror, I. E. Perceptual, Cognitive, and Psychological Elements Involved in Expert Identification, *Friction Ridge Sourcebook*, SWGFAST, NIJ, In Press. <http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/nij/pubs-sum/225320.htm>
- Dror, I. E. & Mnookin, J. The use of technology in human expert domains: Challenges and risks arising from the use of automated fingerprint identification systems in forensics. *Law, Probability and Risk*. (in press).
- Dror, I.E. and Fraser-Mackenzie, P.A.F., Cognitive Biases in Human Perception, Judgment, and Decision Making: Bridging Theory and the Real World in *Criminal Investigative Failures*, Ed. Rossmo, K., Taylor & Francis, 2008.
- Dror, I.E. How can Francis Bacon help forensic science? The four idols of human biases. *Jurimetrics: The Journal of Law, Science, and Technology* (in press).
- Dror, I.E. Paradoxical functional degradation in human expertise. In N. Kapur, Pascual-Leone, & V. S. Ramachandran (Eds.) *The Paradoxical Brain*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. (in press).
- Dror, I.E., and Cole, S.A., The vision in 'blind' Justice: Expert perception, judgment and visual cognition in forensic pattern recognition, *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, in press.
- Dror, I.E., Charlton, D., Peron, A., Contextual information renders experts vulnerable to making erroneous identification, *Forensic Science International*, 156, 2006.
- Dror, I.E., Charlton, D., Why experts make errors. *Journal of Forensic identification* 56(4), 2006.
- Dror, I.E., Peron, A.E., Hind, S-L., Charlton, D., When emotions get the better of us: The effect of contextual top-down processing on matching fingerprints. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 19, 2005.
- Dror, I.E., Rosenthal, R., Meta-analytically quantifying the reliability and biasability of forensic experts. *J Forensic Sci*, 53(4), 2008.
- Evett, I., Evaluation and professionalism. *Science and Justice* 49, 2009.
- Forrest, R., Context-free forensic science. *Science and Justice* 44(2), 2004.
- Giannelli, P.C., Confirmation Bias, *Criminal Justice*, 22(3), 2007.
- Hall, L.J. and Player, E., Will the introduction of an emotional context affect fingerprint analysis and decision-making? *Forensic Science International*, 181, 2008.
- Dror, I.E. On proper research and understanding of the interplay between bias and decision outcomes, *Forensic Science International*, 191, 2009.
- Hall, L.J. and Player, E., The value of practitioner research in the field of fingerprint analysis, *Forensic Science International*, 191, 2009.
- Saks, M.J. Concerning L.J. Hall, E. Player, "Will the introduction of an emotional context affect fingerprint analysis and decision making?" *Forensic Science International*, 191, 2009.
- Hall, L.J. Player, E. The value of practitioner research in the field of fingerprint analysis (2). *Forensic Sci. Int.* 191, 2009.
- Hartl, D.L., and Fairbanks, D.J., Mud Sticks: On the Alleged Falsification of Mendel's Data in *Perspectives in Anecdotal, Historical and Critical Commentaries on Genetics* Eds. Crow, J.F. and Dove, W.F., Genetics Society of America, 2007.
- Hasel, S, Kassin, L., On the presumption of evidentiary independence Psychological Science; Can Confessions Corrupt Eyewitness

Identifications? 20(1), 2009

Kerstholt J.H., Paashuis R., Sjerps, M. Shoe print examinations: Effects of expectation, complexity and experience, *Forensic Science International*, 165, 2006.

Krane, D.E., Ford, F., Gilder, J.R., Inman, K., Jamieson, A., Koppl, R., Kornfield, I.L., Risinger, D.M., Rudin, N., Taylor, M.C., Thompson W.C., Sequential Unmasking: A Means of Minimizing Observer Effects in Forensic DNA Interpretation, *J Forensic Sci* 53(4), 2008.

Wells J.D. Commentary on: Sequential unmasking: a means of minimizing observer effects in forensic DNA interpretation. *J Forensic Sci*, 54(2) 2009.

Krane, D.E., Ford, F., Gilder, J.R., Inman, K., Jamieson, A., Koppl, R., Kornfield, I.L., Risinger, D.M., Rudin, N., Taylor, M.C., Thompson W.C., Authors' Response, *J Forensic Sci*, 54(2) 2009.

Ostrum B. Commentary on: Sequential unmasking: a means of minimizing observer effects in forensic DNA interpretation. *J Forensic Sci*, 54(6), 2009.

Krane, D.E., Ford, F., Gilder, J.R., Inman, K., Jamieson, A., Koppl, R., Kornfield, I.L., Risinger, D.M., Rudin, N., Taylor, M.C., Thompson W.C., Authors' Response, *J Forensic Sci*, 54(6), 2009.

Langenburg, G., Champod, C., and Wertheim, P., Testing for potential contextual bias effects during the verification stage of the ACE-V Methodology when conducting fingerprint comparisons *J Forensic Sci*, 54(3) 2009.

Mankevich, A. Blind verification; Does it compromise the conformance of ACE-V methodology to the scientific method? *Chesapeake Examiner* 45(2), 2007.

Miller, Larry S., Bias among forensic document examiners: A need for procedural changes. *J Police Science and Administration*, 12(4), 1984.

Miller, Larry S., Procedural bias in forensic science examinations of human hair. *Law and Human Behavior* 11(2) 157, 1987.

Phillips V.L., Saks M.J., Peterson J.L., The application of signal detection theory to decision-making in forensic science. *J Forensic Sci*; 46(2) 2001.

Risinger, D.M., Saks, M.J. Thompson, W.C., Rosenthal, R., The Daubert/Kumho implications of observer effects in forensic science: Hidden problems of expectation and suggestion. *California Law Review*, 90(1) 2002.

Saks, M.J., Risinger, D.M., Rosenthal, R., Thompson, W.C., Context effects in forensic science: A review and application of the science of science to crime laboratory practice in the United States. *Science & Justice*, 43(2), 2003.

Schiffer B., Champod C., The potential (negative) influence of observational biases at the analysis stage of fingerprint individualization. *Forensic Sci Int*, 167, 2007.

Stacey, R.M., A report on the erroneous fingerprint individualization in the Madrid train bombing case. *Journal of Forensic Identification*, 54, 2004.

Thompson WC. A sociological perspective on the science of forensic DNA testing. *UC Davis Law Rev*, 30(4), 1997.

Thompson, W.C. and Cole, S.A., Psychological aspects of forensic identification evidence. In M. Costanzo, D. Krauss & K. Pezdek (Eds.) *Expert Psychological Testimony for the Courts*. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum & Associates. 2007.

Thompson, W.C. and Ford, S., The meaning of a match: Sources of ambiguity in the interpretation of DNA prints. In J. Farley & J. Harrington (Eds.) *Forensic DNA Technology*. New York: CRC Press, Inc., 1991.

Thompson, W.C., Accepting Lower Standards: The National Research Council's Second Report on Forensic DNA Evidence. *Jurimetrics* 37(4), 1997.

Thompson, W.C., Observer Effects, Context Effects and Confirmation Bias in Forensic Science in *Wiley Encyclopedia of Forensic Science*, Eds. Jamieson, A., and Moenssens, A., John Wiley & Sons, 2009.

Thompson, W.C., Painting the target around the matching profile: the Texas sharpshooter fallacy in forensic DNA interpretation, *Law Probability and Risk*, 2009

Thompson, W.C., Subjective interpretation, laboratory error and the value of DNA evidence: Three case studies. *Genetica* 96, 1995.

Thomson M.A., Bias and quality control in forensic science; a cause for concern. *J Forensic Sci*, 10(3), 1974.

USDOJ OIJ, A review of the FBI's handling of the Brandon Mayfield Case, 2006.

Vokey, J., Tangen, J., & Cole, S., On the preliminary psychophysics of fingerprint identification. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 62(5), 2009

Whitman, G., Koppl, R., Institutional Bias in Forensic Science. In Press??